



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

to furnish the goods as ordered. An almost identical contract was upheld in *Gile v. Inter-State Motor Car Co.*, 27 N. D. 108, only on the ground that it had been adhered to by both parties during its stipulated term, and had therefore become enforceable as a unilateral contract, whether or not it had been enforceable in its inception. See 12 MICH. L. REV. 667. The necessary promise was implied in *Chi. R. I. & G. Ry., v. Martin*, (Tex.), 163 S. W. 313, discussed in 12 MICH. L. REV. 694.

CRIMINAL LAW—ESPIONAGE ACT—RED CROSS AND Y. M. C. A. AS PART OF “MILITARY OR NAVAL FORCES.”—The Espionage Act (40 Stat. 219, C. 30) provides that “whoever, when the United States is at war, (1) shall wilfully make or convey false reports or false statements with intent to interfere with the operation or success of its enemies,” etc., shall be guilty of an offense. Defendant was indicted for having said to numerous people while a “drive” was on to raise funds for the war work of the Red Cross and Y. M. C. A., “I am through contributing to your private grafts. There is too much graft in these subscriptions. No; I do not believe in the work of the Y. M. C. A. or the Red Cross, for I think they are nothing but a bunch of grafters. No, sir, I can prove it. I won’t give you a cent. The Y. M. C. A., the Y. W. C. A., and the Red Cross is a bunch of grafters. Not over 10 or 15 per cent of the money collected goes to the soldiers or is used for the purpose for which it is collected. Who is the government? Who is running this war? A bunch of capitalists composed of the steel trust and munitions makers.” On motion to squash, *held* that the indictment stated an offense under the Act. *United States v. Nagler*, (D. C. W. D. Wis., 1918), 252 Fed. 217.

It is not by any means every utterance, however disloyal the speaker may thereby be indicated to be, that is covered by the Espionage Act, the Act covers only utterances affecting the military or naval forces. *United States v. Schutte*, 252 Fed. 212. A strict application of the general rule that criminal statutes are to be strictly construed might conceivably lead to a conclusion opposite to the one reached in the principal case. The court felt warranted in upholding the indictment because of the relationship of the Red Cross and Y. M. C. A. organizations with the forces in the field, created and recognized by the President and the constituted authorities. The fact that the Red Cross is recognized by international treaties and its members are by the Treaty of Geneva of August 12, 1864, to be treated as neutrals when captured were deemed not to interfere with treating the organization as part of the military and naval forces of the United States. No doubt it will be a source of great satisfaction to most people that the circulation of such vicious lies as were repeated by the defendant in the principal case can be reached by criminal proceedings.

CRIMINAL LAW—POWER OF PROSECUTING ATTORNEY TO ENTER A NOLLE PROSEQUI.—Respondent was judge of the Municipal Court of Chicago, and had about 400 cases for violation of the Sunday liquor law pending in his court, when the relator, the State’s attorney of Cook County, proposed to enter a *nolle prosequi* in every one of the cases. The judge refused to allow this to be done, and the instant case was selected as a test case, the State’s attorney